* **Introduction (10%)**
  + *Provides a context for the work*
  + *Clearly defines the problem to be addressed*
  + *Motivates the importance of the work*
  + *Establishes the relevance of the work in the project topic*
* **Literature Review (10%)**
  + *The discussion is structured and coherent*
  + *Key technical issues are summarised*
  + *Published work has been related to the project work*
  + *Literature sources have been critically analysed*
  + *The literature has been comprehensively covered*
* **Objectives, Specification and Design (15%)**
  + For artefact-producing project:
    - *Requirements/objectives have been described adequately*
    - *A rationale for the design approach is given*
    - *Alternative designs have been considered*
  + For theoretical projects:
    - *The project identifies a coherent set of issues to be addressed*
    - *A rationale for selected issues is given*
    - *Selected issues derive from a synthesis of the relevant literature*
* **Methodology and Implementation (25%)**
  + For artefact-producing project:
    - *The implemented product is sophisticated*
    - *Implementation choices have been justified*
    - *Adequate and effective testing has been performed*
    - *Methodology is sound and has been clearly presented*
  + For theoretical projects:
    - *The project makes a novel/original contribution*
    - *The contribution is sophisticated or non-trivial*
    - *Careful planning and understanding of methodology*
    - *Strengths/limitations of contribution are identified (completely)*
    - *Strengths/limitations of contribution are discussed (in-depth)*
    - *Methodology is sound and has been clearly presented*
* **Results, Analysis and Evaluation (20%)**
  + *Results have been analysed and discussed*
  + *Analysis and evaluation procedures have been explained*
  + *Material has been compared and contrasted*
  + *Comparison of achievements with requirements*
  + *Awareness of potential extensions*
  + *Reflective and justified conclusions have been drawn*
* **General Scholarship (10%)**
  + *Writing – clear, coherent, repetition-free*
  + *Ability to express well-formed arguments*
  + *Well structured report*
  + *Free of grammatical and typographical errors*
  + *A full set of references is included*
* **Legal, Social, Ethical and Professional issues (10%)**
  + Indicates relevant professional standards
  + Outlines the social and ethical implications
  + Thoughtful discussion of the impact of the project in its relevant context -- e.g. public well-being, environment, sustainability, economic, and commercial factors.
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